

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/678,175	09/28/2000	Victor Konrad	042390.P9573	2921	
75	590 08/12/2004		EXAM	INER	
Eric S Hyman			ROSSOSHEI	ROSSOSHEK, YELENA	
Blakely Sokolo	ff Taylor & Zafman LLP				
12400 Wilshire Boulevard 7th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Los Angeles, C	CA 90025		2825		

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u></u>		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/678,175	KONRAD ET AL.			
·	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Helen B Rossoshek	2825			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -	-		
THE REPLY FILED 20 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application (	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in	า iued		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate originally set in the final Office	extension action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a)   they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);				
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplify	ing the		
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amen	dment		
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT plac	e the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were new	ly		
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			า		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-40</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b)  disapproved by t	ne Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer					
10. Other:					
<del>-</del>					

FRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY ENTER 2800

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The fact that each PLA was splitted into plurality o sub-PLAs, each having an OR plane, does not overcome the prior art of Katkoori et al., which still reads the claims of instant Application and it does not put the instant Application in the allowable stage..

2